G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1921-22 **File No.** 26-21

Gen. 80-19 Pls 1-3
Gen 30-20 Pls 2-4, 10+18 (G. 000 —)
Gen 26-21 Pls ~~16~~ 9+10
Gen 26-21 Pls — 1, 3, 4, 5, 6+8

Serial Nos. 12, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 + 25

Later references.

Pls 37-25-1824
Gen 62-32 (1, 5, 8+11)
Gen 45-33 (12, 16 & 20)
— 11.35 (1-3.5—13)

P-45

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Eight (8)

Part B for June 1923.

SUBJECT

Changing the designations of Mr. B. Venkoba Rao from "Architectural Draftsman" to "Architectural Assistant."

Sl 25 To 1) The Director of Archaeological Researches.
2) The Comptroller to Govt.

No. G. 9032-33/G. 000 825-5-23.

Sanctions the change in the designations of the officers from "Architectural draftsman to "Architectural Assistant"

Printed on the Multigraph.

K.G. Mahadevappa
9/6/23

(1

G. R. S. 11] 1921-22.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G.A.)

NOTES.

File No. 26-21.

FILE HEADING.

Estabt. of the Director of Archl. Researches in Mysore.

From

The Director of Archl. Researches in Mysore.

No. 177/352 Dated 4/1/22 Received 6/1/22

Diary No. 2219	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 12

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards an application from Mr B. Venkoba Rao B.A., Architectural Draftsman of his Office regarding the raising of his Status or his transfer to the Mysore Dept. with higher emoluments.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

D & E

Returns previous collections dealing with this Officer's emoluments & Status are put up. Submitted for orders.

JR 9/1/1922 bly

2. The necessity to view the recommendations made on behalf of this Offcr favourably was recognized, but the considerati

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cyn File No. 26 of 1924

Serial No.

of the matter
had to be deferred in view of the present financial position.

3. If it is intended to increase his emoluments from next year, orders have to be passed now, and the Comptroller authorized to make the requisite provision in the budget for 1922-23.

4. The alternative proposals contained in paras 4 & 5 of Mr. Venkobachar's letter regarding his transfer to the Railway Dept and his status respectively are for orders.

CAA
16.1.22

Gen: Sec:)

5. As there is no likelihood of an increase of Emoluments being Conceived in by the Financial Dept the only question that need be Considered at all is the request for a change in designation. This deserves to be viewed favorably as it does not involve directly or indirectly an increase of Expenditure. The Secretary has no objection to Mr Venkoba Rao's designation being Changed to "~~Architectural~~ Assistant", if necessary with the Condition that this Should not be made the ground for a claim for increased Emoluments.

25/1

2nd member)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9.7.0.

(3)

G. R: S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

'22-1'

File No. 26 of 19 21

3938-183
26.1.22

Serial No.

6. I have already given expression to my views in the matter in the linked files. If the Director of Arch is prepared for a transfer of services, the Govt may take him on as Asst Engineer or Arch. Asst. Post. Even this appointment has been ordered to be held in abeyance until further orders. So I fear there is no way out as matters stand. Personally, I can see no objection to a change in the designation, if that will satisfy Mr Venkataraos for the present. In this connection I would invite attention to the orders of Govt sanctioning the appt of Mr. Venkataraos as Arch. Draftsman in the Arch. Dept.

Please put up this file
28/1
CSB

Rue
27.1.22
CSB

7. The collection containing —
The draft of this G.O. of 17/9/1919 in which the words Archl Draftsman in the Archaeological Dept" were subsequently changed into "Architectural Assistant to the Dr of Archl Researches" is put up, the collection in which the Director suggested that Mr Venkoba Rao may be treated as Architectural Assistant to him is also put up.

Mr 31/1 (By Gen. Sec.)
1/2

CSB
3.2.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 26 of 1921

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on FEB 6 1922
Reg. No. 4189

The designation may

Dewan (9).

4/2/22

(10) There appears to be a change in the present designation to "Architectural Assistant" seems possible. This does not necessarily mean an increase in pay whether a sanction is required or not should be obtained

7/2/22

(11) Return draft amply

8.2

12: Draft noted for approval.

21/2

13: The present designation of "Architectural Draftsman" was sanctioned by H.H. the Maharaja. It is a point for consideration as to whether the change now proposed to be made should not also receive H.H's sanction (vide pp 22-25 of office notes in G.M. 80-19 Sl 1 to 3.)

Yes. I think the case has to be put up to H.H.

22 to 25 in Reg. Sh H-16.

9.3.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(5

2219

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | am File No. 26 of 1921.

Serial No.

14. It is also a point for consideration as to whether this officer's name should not be included in the Civil List, as the name of the Assistant to the Director finds a place there. If this proposal is approved, the following para may be added to the present draft:—

"2. The Comptroller ~~will be~~ is requested to include this officer's name in the Civil List".

Gen: Sec:)

CBB
23.2.22

for instructions
for consideration
[illegible]

2nd Member
Dewan

[illegible]
CBB

4682-106
7.3.22

15) I have no objection to the mere change in designation. There can be no improvements in Status or Emoluments as Makers stand. In this connection I would invite attention to my minute in the file re future of the Arch. Dept. This file is being dealt with by the Ch. Secy.

Pull
9.3.22.

(16) Please obtain the file if available

(17) The file is reported to be still under circulation to Council.

[illegible]
CBB

Gen: Sec:) (18) This may await orders on the Subject of Prof. [illegible] before the Council.

CBB
18.3.22

18/27/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Sec B1

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 26 of 1924

Serial No.	
17	From. The Director of Archl. Research Letter no. 355/683 dated 21/23-6-22 Forwd. for consideration an application from Mr. B. Venkoba Rao, praying for a change of his designation and increased salary. (1) The file regarding Reorganisation of the Archl. Deptt referred to in para 18 above is submitted to the Govt. Secy. in connection with the emoluments of the Head clerk. The return of the file will if approved be awaited. BVN. 28.6.22
	(2) Yes, but this should not be delayed unnecessarily. CR 29.6.22
	(3) referred to above The file is not yet completely closed and so the reference is not available for being submitted along with this file. Subt for orders. Now that the Archl. Dept is under the administrative control of the University, may the Vice Chancellor's opinion be called for in the matter? (4) Draft subt for orders. MV 1/7.
	(5) Extract of the Members minutes in the main file is put up. A. D. N. is also subt as per verbal orders of the Assistant Secretary. MV 2/7.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

253/8 (7

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 26 of 1921

Serial No.

23. Kind reference is invited to the First Member's order in the margin of para 13 of the office notes in this file. Further action in the matter was deferred as directed in para 18.

24. With reference to the First Member's order at para 15, extracts from the notes in Govt. file 102-21 containing the First Member's minutes re future of the Arch.l Deptt are put up.

25. A D.F.N is put up for approval in accordance with the orders already passed.

26. The present Director has also forwarded a further representation of Mr. Kulkarni and recommends (1) that his designation may be changed to "Architectural Assistant to the Director" and that (2) if increased emoluments cannot be granted at present, he may at least be given the status of Sub-Assistant Engineer on his own present emoluments. The latter point is for orders.

Govt: Sec:)

CSF 9.8.22

First Member 9-8-22

1379-319 12.8.22.

Arch. Asst. Arch. dept has since CSF passed on to the Centre of the Univ. The V. C's opinion has been obtained. I think

Sec 14.8.22.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

28. Put up Deptt CSF 14.8.22

14/8/22

(8

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

252 Q-72/6

GM File No. 26 of 1921.

Serial No.	
29.	Draft put up on 17/9 is returned for [illegible]
	15/8. 30 Issue CEB 25.8.22
20	To the Registrar, temporary work No 1447. dt 30.8.1922 calls for the views of the Vice Chancellor in the matter
21	Letter No 1866 dt 6.9.22 from the Regr. Mysore University stating that the Vice-Chancellor approves of the Director's recommendation namely that Sri Venkoba Rao may be given the status of a Sub-Assistant Engineer
	31) Forwards - Enclosures forwarded with letter No 1477 dt 30-8-22 are not returned. 11/9
	32) Call for it CEB 11.9.22
	33) Ref regd in para 32 put up forwards 13/9
	34) Issue CEB 15.9.22
	Issued C 1759 dt 15.9.22
	Letter No 2504 dt 20.9.22 from the Regr Mysore University returning the enclosures. Called for.

Sec A.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(9

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GM File No. 26 of 1921.

Serial No.

35) Vide Minutes of the first member in para 27 of the Notes (page 7). The Vice-Chancellor is agreeable to the proposal viz that Mr. B. Venkoba Rao may be designated Architectural Asst to the Dir of Arch.l Researches that he may be given the status of a Sub Asst Engr.

Sub Hostels

28/9

36. As regards change of designation a D. F. N. and a draft G.O. have already been put up.

37. In regard to the status, kind reference is invited to the first member's order at paras 15 and 37 above. As the Vice Chancellor approves of the Director's recommendation to give to Mr Venkobarao the status of a Sub Asst Engr, the matter requires further orders.

Gen: Sec:)

21. Corrn

4/11/22

375-4-139

4.11.22

38 The Grade of Sub. Asst Engr in the P.W.D. has been abolished since. G.O. alteration

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(10

1158

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GII File No. 25 of 1921.

Serial No.

May be invited to this. As regards change in designation I have already given expression to my views.

Rees
7.11.22

A. S. B. 8/11/22

Hyd 8.11.22

Hyd 9/11

9. Draft as per instructions to put up for approval. Whether Vice-Chancellor further views as regards the assigning of "Sirdar" to Mr P. has to be called for or not and what action as regards change of designation has to be taken are points for orders

10/11/22

10. The draft may be approved. After its issue further action will be taken as regards change of designation.

Gen Sec.)

15/11/22

11. Done

27/12/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

fm. File No. 26 of 1921.

Serial No.

22 To The Registrar Mysore Lenses
G. 483 d 4.1.1923.
States that the grade of Sub. Asst Engineer in the P.W.D. has been abolished since

42 (The point re change of designation of Mr Venkoba Rao has to be disposed of).
Subs for orders with reference to orders in paras 40 & 41 supra

43 The draft approved on 23.2.22 of the D.P.W. also submitted for orders:
Sd/ M.
15/1/22.

44 (1) As to the change in the designation prayed for by Mr Venkobarao the concensus of opinion is in favour of its being sanctd.. — vide Minutes of the 1st Member & the Dewan Paras. 7, 10, 15,-

45 A draft order sanctioning the change also in the file.

(2) The agreement is not so unanimous in favour of raising the status of the applicant - It is gathered from the applicants' requests, & the recommendation of the Dir. of Arch. Researches & the Vice-Chancellor that a better status really is very important for the performance of Mr Venkoba Rao's official duties - It seems to me there is more in a status than in the name or designation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

540

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen File No. 26 of 1924

Serial No.

Gen No 540 e.m

46 And as the giving of an increased status to him need not mean the giving of increased emoluments, Mr. V. Rao's request for ~~[illegible]~~ better status deserves favourable consideration. Perhaps we may ask Vice-chancellor what rank he proposes for him, now that the rank of Sub-asstt Engrs. does not exist

17.1.25

Genl Secretary

47 The recommendation of the Vice chancellor was that Mr. Venkoba Rao must be given the status of a Sub Asst Engr. His letter has now been in view of the fact that this grade has been abolished, it would have been well if we had called for any further suggestion. Please invite reference to this letter and request for further suggestion of the Vice chancellor

48 Write to Vice-chancellor 24.1.25

25.1

49 Draft-letter

50 may be approved

27/1/25.

Clean draft. Issued 27.1.25

Gen 27/1/25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

1578

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

fm. File No. 26 of 1921.

Serial No.	
	From the Registrar, Mysore University No 7196 dt 4.2.1923. States that the Vice-chancellor recommends that the designation of Mr Vrueba Rio may be changed from that of Architectural Draftsman & H.I. of Architectural Assistant.
R.	51. In view of H.H.'s orders Communicated in Private Secretary's letter No D. 145 dt 15.9.19 Whether the present recommendation for a change of designation into "Architectural Assistant" is called for is for orders. ~~proposed whether~~
vide Flag (A)	52 Whether the post of an Architectural Assistant in the Public Works Dept still continues may perhaps be ascertained unofficially in view of the recent reorganisation effected there. Subs for orders R 24/2/23 26/2
	(A) 53 May be done early MHB 25/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

CHIEF ENGINEER & SECRETARY TO GOVT. IRRIGATION Dept. No. 5712 Date 12.3.23.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

15-78 sin

File No. 26 of 1924.

Serial No. 54

unofficially

Forwarded with compliments to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favour of noting if the post of the "Architectural Assistant" in the P.W.D. still continues, and if so, by whom it is now held.

Sd/-
M. Srinivasan
for Secretary.

Ex. 2841-
by 10731-

E. 7061 dy 16.3.23.

55 Returned with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, General Department.

2 The post of "Architectural Assistant" in the Public Works Department was abolished from 1st September 1920.

Bangalore, 3-1923

N. Narasimha Raja
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
15/3/23.

17/3

56) Submitted for orders with reference to para 57 supra. The change in the designation proposed may be accepted

17/3

57) The question of status has been considered apart from that of designation in these notes. But the Vice Chancellor's last recommendation

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

1578. (13

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 26 of 1924.

Serial No.

(... Regr's letter dy 21-2-1923) in the matter of status is a recommendation to change his designation —

The change of designation may perhaps be appd...

[illegible] 15/4/24

Genl. Secy)

Genl. Secy is on leave.

1st Member) [illegible] 6/4/24

The file was returned to the Genl Secy on 5.4.24 as Genl Secy went on leave

[illegible] 6/4

58)

8226-252 9.4.23

9) The change of designation proposed may, I think, be accepted. At present there is no officer with the same designation in the P.W.D. or any other dept, & the designation was at one time (vide P.S. M's D.O. Lr No 2099 dy 19.5.19 to the Dewan) approved by H.H. also.

The Dewan

Recd 10.4.23

60) Regret 4/4 16.4

61) 16/4

629 Dept [illegible] 17/4

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 10 1923
Reg. No. 5921

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

m-on early
16.4.

1515

16

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 26 of 1921.

Serial No.	
	63) No. draft approved revised so as to suit the present orders is put up for orders.
	64) The D.F.N. is also resubd draft revised as the ~~Same~~ Case seems to require subn to H.H. the Maharaja for sanction of the proposal.
	99/4 RG.
	65) Typed draft & D.S.N. please
	66) Draft & D.F.N. typed is submitted herewith. 27/4. RG 28/4 (1st member)
	67) Draft (G.O & D.F.N) approved. 4.5.23 4/5/23 RG 9/5
	68) Subd herewith a D.S.N. 8/5/23 Typed draft please, RG 9/5
	69) Typed draft subd to Dewan. 9/5/23 RG 10/5

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 1923
Reg. No. 6-5-A-7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

In Government Order No.G.6966-9/G.M.80-19-3 dated 17th September 1919, Mr.B.Venkoba Rao was appointed Architectural Draftsman in the Archaeological Department, on Rs. 150/-, on probation for one year, and, at the end of the probationary period, he was confirmed in that appointment on a pay of Rs. 150-10-250.

2. With his letter, No.177-352 dated the 4th January 1922, the Director of Archaeological Researches forwarded an application from Mr.B.Venkoba Rao, requesting that he may be appointed as Architectural Assistant with the rank and emoluments of an Assistant Engineer, or, that he may be transferred to the Muzrai Department in place of the Assistant Engineer of that Department and that, if neither of these requests can be sanctioned, he may be granted a higher honorary status on his present emoluments.

3. As there was no likelihood of any increase to emoluments being sanctioned on account of financial stringency and as the filling up of the Assistant Engineer's place in the Muzrai Department had been ordered to be held in abeyance, I was of opinion that there was no objection to a mere change in the designation of this officer. The then Dewan, Sir M. Kantaraj Urs, agreed in this view and noted as follows:-

" For the present nothing more than a change in the present designation to "Architectural Assistant" seems possible. This does not necessarily mean an increase in his present status or emoluments as pointed out by the General Secretary."

The Archaeological Department having been transferred since to

(18

P.U.B

the University, the Vice-Chancellor now recommends that the designation of Mr. Venkoba Rao may be changed from "Architectural Draftsman" to Architectural Assistant". It has also been ascertained from the Public Works Department that the post under the same nomenclature was abolished from 1st September 1920. This obviates the possibility of any claim on the part of Mr.Venkoba Rao for being treated as a Gazetted Officer of the rank of one in the Public Works Department for purposes of travelling allowance &c.

4. The appointment of Mr. B. Venkoba Rao with the designation now proposed having been approved by His Highness (vide the Private Secretary's D.O. letter No.145 dated 15th September 1919) I now solicit the gracious approval for the change of designation to "Architectural Assistant.

A Raghavendra Rao
A. S. 23
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

(69

DRAFT FINAL NOTE.

U

In Government Order No.G.6966-9/G.M. 80-19-3 dated 17th September 1919, Mr. B.Venkoba Rao was appointed Architectural Draftsman in the Archaeological Department on Rs.150/- on probation for one year and at the end of the probationary period he was confirmed in that appointment on a pay of Rs.150-10-250.

P.T.O.

2. With his letter No.177-352 dated the 4th January 1922, the Director of Archaeological Researches forwarded an application from Mr.B.Venkoba Rao requesting that he may be appointed as Architectural Assistant with the rank and emoluments of an Assistant Engineer or, that he may be transferred to the Muzrai Department in place of the Assistant Engineer of that Department and that, if neither of these requests can be sanctioned, he may be granted a higher honorary status on his present emoluments.

3. As there was no likelihood of any increase to emoluments being sanctioned on account of financial stringency and the filling up of the Assistant Engineer place in the Muzrai Department had been ordered to be held in abeyance, I was of opinion that there was no objection to mere change in the designation of this officer. The then Dewan, Sir M.Kantaraj Urs, agreed in this view and noted as follows:-

"For the present nothing more than

P.T.O.

a change in the present designation to "Architectural Assistant" seems possible. This does not necessarily mean an increase in his present status or emoluments as pointed out by the General Secretary."

The archaeological department having been transferred since to the Univ.

As a result of further correspondence in the matter with the Vice-Chancellor now Mysore University, he recommends that the designation of Mr.Venkoba Rao may be changed from that of "Architectural Draftsman" to that "Architectural Assistant." It has also been ascertained from the Public Works Department that the post under the same nomenclature was abolished from 1st September 1920. This obviates the possibility of any claim on the part of Mr.Venkoba Rao for being treated as a Gazetted Officer of the rank of one in the Public Works Department for purposes of travelling allowance etc.

4. ~~A~~ The appointment of Mr.B.Venkoba Rao with the present designation was made with the approval of His Highness (conveyed in Private Secretary's D. O. letter No.145 dated 15th September 1919) I has proposed has been approved by vide the Page 2 of the note in Dept. O.

solicit the gracious approval of His Highness for the change of designation to "Architectural Assistant."

Reed
24. 8. 23

First Member of Council.

(21

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First Member of Council in the matter of changing the designation of Mr.R.Venkoba Rao from "Architectural Draftsman" to "Architectural Assistant" for His Highness' gracious approval.

The change in the designation does not involve a change in the status or the emoluments of this officer.

Camp Fernhill,
May 1923.

14 MAY 1923

22

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Changing the designation of Mr.B. Venkoba Rao from "Architectural Draftsman" to "Architechtural Assistant".

886

Order.

~~KRM~~

16/5/23

9508-539
18.5.23.

Chief Secy

MAY 192

Secy

19.5.23

16/5

1578/23
8pm

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | for File No. 26 of 1924.

Serial No.	
	Issue RR. 24/5 —
25	To The Director of Archæological Researches The Comptr. to Govt. No. G-3032-3/for 8/28/5/23 Sanctions the Charge in designation of the Office from "Architectural Draftsman to "Architectural Asst."

B.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

No. 177/352.
Encls: One.

Office of the Director of
ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCHES IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE, 4th January 1922.

29712

GEN & REV. DEPT.
6 - JAN 1922

From

Praktana vimarsa vichakshana
Rao Bahadur R. NARASIMHACHAR, Esq., M.A.,
Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore,

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
III
6 JAN 1922
MISCELLANEOUS

To

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application from Mr. B. Venkoba Rao, B.A., Architectural Draftsman of this Office

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimhachar

Director of
Archl: Researches in Mysore.

(15

To

The Secretary to Government,

General Departments, Bangalore.

(Through the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore)

Respected Sir,

1 The Government in their two recent communications have intimated that no increase of pay can be sanctioned to me at present on account of the restricted Budget of the current year. I beg to approach them once again with a request that I may be appointed Architectural Assistant with the rank and emoluments of an Assistant Engineer at least from the beginning of next official year and that the Comptroller may be ordered to make the necessary provision in the next year's Budget.

(1)

2 Though I have already mentioned my qualifications in my previous applications, I wish to repeat them once again for the consideration of Government. My training was a Special one undertaken by the Bombay Government at the special request of the Government of Mysore and with the special permission of the Government of India and was intended to enable me to take up the work of preservation and maintenance of Ancient Monuments in the State. I stood first in the Final Examination held by the Bombay Government at the end of the training and was declared to be the best of the scholars selected for such a training. After the training was over one of my colleagues was appointed as Assistant Superintendent of Archaeology in Poona on a salary

(10)

of Rs. 300 - 700/- to look after the work of maintenance of Ancient Monuments in the Bombay Presidency. To do similar work in Madras there is a whole time European Superintendent of Archaeology with a salary of about Rs. 1000/- per month. Every other Archaeological Circle in British India has either a whole time Superintendent or an Assistant Superintendent on the salaries mentioned above.

3 But with regard to myself, I am given here Rs 150-10-250/- and am called "Architectural Draftsman" with no status. I beg to state that my work consists in advising the P.W. Officers and in sitting in judgment over their work. If my work must be of any value and if I should be able to work harmoniously with officers of other departments, I should necessarily have a coordinate status with the officers with whom I generally come in contact. For want of such a status I am much handicapped in my work and I therefore beg to request that I may be given the rank and status of an Assistant Engineer.

4 If the Government cannot see their way to grant higher pay in this department, I request as an alternative, that I may be transferred to the Muzrai Department as Architectural Assistant to the Muzrai Superintendent in place of the Assistant Engineer which Post is still vacant. Even though all Ancient Monuments are not Muzrai institutions, it can be safely said that all Muzrai institutions are Ancient Monuments. Even the slightest repair that may be done to these requires artistic taste and special technical skill which is not ordinarily possessed by the P.W. Officers. There seems to be a misconception that an architect is a mere designer with no knowledge of Engineering. But as a matter of fact an architect is a specialist in Building work who can lay claim to possess knowledge in Building Engineering much more than a P.W. Officer

(27)

does. For these reasons if there is no place for me in the Archaeological Department the only other place and, for some reasons, the more suitable place than the present one is in the Muzrai Department.

5—If even this is not possible, I would request, as a second alternative, that I may be given an honorary status at least which would set a value to my opinions. As (3) a man's words are assessed by others according to the position he occupies in the official ladder, it is necessary that my status at least should be raised in order to help me to do my work satisfactorily. (As I value status more than my pay I am even prepared to rest content with the initial pay of the grade now sanctioned, viz., Rs. 150/- and shall bind myself, if required, not to ask for more at any future time. What all I want is suffient scope to do my little bit in preserving the ancient art of the State which has been the absorbing passion of my life. The ancient art and architecture of Mysore is quite unrivalled in India and is unfortunately fast disappearing through neglect and other causes and I hope the benign Government will, in the interests of art at least, be pleased to allow me to serve my country and thus fulfil my only ambition in life.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B S Venkoba Rao

Archaeological Office,
Bangalore, 3rdJan. 1922.

28

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 972

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 16.9.22

Signed by m e SR

Section Gen

File No. 26-21

Serial No

No. G 1759

G. Dn 26-21-20

To

The Regr. Mysore Residency.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 1886 of 6.9.22 ~~stating that the Vice-Chancellor~~ regarding the ~~Approval of the proposal of giving~~ ~~two Yearly Rewards~~ to the Staff of Mr. B. Venkobachar, Architectural Draftsman ~~of a Sub asst Engr~~, I am directed ~~to state~~ to request that the enclosures sent with the return of ~~which was requested in this Office~~ letter no 01477 of 30.8.22, ~~have~~ Gen 26-21-22 ~~not been forwarded, and to request~~

over.

may kindly be caused to be returned as they have
~~you be so good as to send us~~ not been received
~~the same without delay.~~ with your letter under
reference.

I have etc.

C.B. V.G.
for Genl Secy

4/1879

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 2504
E. c.

Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore, 20th September 192

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University,
MYSORE.

22 SEP 1922

To

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. G 1759/G.N.26-21-20 dated the 16th instant, I am directed to return herewith the two enclosures received with your letter No. G 1477/ G.N. 26-21-20 dated the 30th August 1922.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

661 D

(31 D 4549/m

No. 355
683

Encl. one.

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCHES
IN MYSORE.

Jn. 26 21 17

Bangalore, dated, 21st June 1922.
23rd.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
III
24 JUN 1922
MILITARY AND
MISCELLANEOUS

From

Dr. R. Shama Sastry, B.A., Ph.D.,
Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore,
M y s o r e.

To

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith, for favourable consideration, an application from Mr. B. Venkoba Rao of this office, praying for a change of his designation and increased salary. He is in charge of the Architectural section of the department. His designation is a mis-nomer and may be changed to " Architectural Assistant to the Director " and if the grant of increased emoluments asked for by him is not possible at present, he may, at least, be given the status of a Sub-Assistant Engineer on his own present emoluments. The nature of his work demands that he should possess some status as he has to deal with the Public Works and Revenue officers of districts on matters technical and otherwise. This does not involve any additional cost to Government, but, at the same time, will afford better facilities to carry on the work of the department satisfactorily in future.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R Shamasastry

Director of Archl: Researches
in Mysore.

To

The General Secretary to Government,

B a n g a l o r e

(Through the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore)

Sir,

I beg to state that no orders have yet been passed on the memorial submitted by me on the 3rd January 1922 praying for increased emoluments and higher status. In the Re-organization Order recently passed by Government my designation is still kept as Draughtsman and my status has not improved. I wish to mention here that another officer in this office getting the same pay is called "Assistant" while I am called mere "draftsman".

I have already represented fully in my previous application the several reasons that go to support my prayer. I beg to set forth once again in brief the points I wish to urge for the consideration of Government.

(a) I belong to a batch of Scholars selected by the Government of India for training to recruit them for higher ranks of service and the following statement shows at a glance the several positions held by them now.

Name of Scholar	The post to which he is appointed after the training.
1. Mr. Maulvi Zafar Hasan, B.A.,	Assist Supdt. Northern Circle.
2. ,, M.B.Garde, B.A.,	Inspector of Archaeology, Gwalior State.
3. ,, H.Panday, B.A.,	Excavation Assist. Director General's Office, Now Assist. Supdt. Eastern Circle.
4. ,, K.N.Dikshit, M.A.,	Curator, Museum Lucknow.
5. ,, Muhammad Hamid, B.A.,	Excavation Assist. to the Director General.
6. ,, Dr. V.Sukhthankar,	Assist. Supdt. of Archaeology, Western Circle.(since resigned)
7. ,, G.C.Chandra,	Assist. Superintendent, Western Circle, Poona.

The last mentioned gentleman, Mr. Chandra, underwent training for four years along with me in the Bombay P.W.D. He has been appointed as Assist.

Assist. Superintendent of Archaeology, Poona, on a salary of Rs. 300 - 700.

I therefore humbly request that I may be granted at least Rs. 250 - 400.

(b) The work that is expected of me is of such a nature that I will have to move frequently with the P.W. and Rev. Officers of districts, advise them on matters technical pertaining to this department and sit in judgment over the work carried out by them. To do this I must have some status, at least that of an Assistant Engineer of the P.W.D.

I therefore humbly request that I may be given the status of an Assistant Engineer.

(c) The work that properly belongs to me and that which is better done by me is being carried out at present partly by an unqualified agency in the Muzrai Department. In order that there may be a uniformity of policy and procedure in the carrying out of repairs to all works of Art and that there may not be overlapping in Govt work, it is very necessary that the whole work of maintaining Muzrai Institutions and other ancient monuments should be placed in the hands of one qualified Officer.

I therefore humbly request that all the technical work of the Muzrai Department also may be transferred to me.

In conclusion, I pray that early and favourable orders may be passed in the matter.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Venkoba Rao

Bangalore,
19th June 1922.

(31)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 30.8.22

Signed by M C.S.R.

Section G. M

File No. 26-21

Serial No. 20

No. O. 1477 / Genl. Sl. 17 with 1 encl.

To

The Registrar, Mysore University.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith letter no: 355/6838 dtd 21/6/1922 (23rd) from the Director of Arch. Research forwarding the application of Mr B. Venkoba Rao, Sd. Architectural Draftsman of this Office, and recommending a Change of designation with at least the status of

over.

Subdeat Engineer on his present emoluments, and to request you to be so good as to favour Govt with the views of the Vice Chancellor in the matter, ~~especially~~ with reference to the charge of Station and the advantages which will result ~~therefrom~~.

2. The enclosures may be returned along with the reply.

I have &c

[illegible]

[illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

16943

(36 972 0

No 1866 Office of the Mysore University,

ated Mysore, 6th September 1922

G.M.26-21-2

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University,
Mysore.

8-SEP-1922

To

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq B.A.
General Secretary to Government
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. G. 1477/G 26-21 dated 30th August 1922 regarding the application of ----- B.Venkoba Rao, B.A., Architectural Draftsman of the office the Director of Archaeological Researches in Myso change of designation and increased salary, I am directed to state that the Vice-Chancellor approves of the Director' recommendation in the matter. Mr. Venkoba Rao may given status of a Sub Assistant Engineer.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

(37

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 6/4/21

Signed by .....

Section S.M.

File No. 26-21

Serial No. 22

No. G.[illegible]

To The Registrar
Mysore University

Sir,

With reference to
your letter No. 1866 dy
6th Sept 7/22. I am directed
that Mr. B. Venkata Rao
Ba — Architectural Draftsman
of the Office of the Director
of Archaeological Researches
in Mysore might be

over.

given the [illegible] of a Sub Assistant Engineer, I am directed to state that the grade of Sub-Assistant Engineer in the P.W.D. has been abolished since and to ~~invite~~ request that ~~the attention~~ this fact may kindly be brought of the Vice Chancellor ~~invited~~ to ~~this fact~~

Received
27/12/22

CEB for Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 5940 26683
Enc.

C 39336

Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore, 6th January ..... 1923.

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, ESQ., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University,
MYSORE.

TO

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to invite your kind reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No. 2504 dated the 20th September 1922 in the matter of the application of Mr. B.Venkoba Rao of the Archaeological Department for change of designation and increased ~~xixx~~ salary and to request the favour of early orders being obtained in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

(40

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 1/2/'23

Signed by Mr. R.A. Sreenivasachar.

Section G.M.

File No. 26—21.

Serial No 23

No. G. 2029/Jus. Dy 1.2.1923

To

The Registrar

Mysore University

Sir,

In continuation of
this office letter No. G. 483/Jus
26-21 Dy 4th January 1923.
I am directed to ~~enquire~~
request you
if the Vice-Chancellor is
anxious to suggest anything
on behalf of Mr. B. Venkatarao
Draftsman
of the Archæological Dept.

over.

in the matter of
re. the status of Mr. B. Venkatarao, Arch

Shown in the file.

(2)

his
to enhance ~~it~~ stature.
and ~~for~~ so, to forward ~~his~~
the Vice-chancellors' further
suggestions ~~any~~ in the matter
for the consideration of ~~for~~ [illegible]

I have the

[illegible] Lumley.

15

Clean draft / issue

MLS

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

(42

January 1923.

N.Narasimha Murthy, Esq., M.A.,B.L.,
Registrar, University of Mysore,
(In charge).

In continuation of this office letter No.G.483/G.M. 26-21-22 dated 4th January 1923, regarding the status of Mr. B.Venkoba Rao, Architectural Drafsman, I am directed to request you to forward the Vice-Chancellor's further suggestions, if any, in the matter for the consideration of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

clean draft

V.L.

For General Secretary to Government.

317 2

(43
fm 15 78
fm. 26-21-24

No. 7196/one

Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore,....19th....February.....192 3.
21st

REV. SE.
GM
22 FEB 1923

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University,
Mysore.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. G. 3029/G.M. 26-21 dated the 1st February 1923 calling for the suggestions of the Vice-Chancellor regarding the status of Mr. B.Venkoba Rao, Architectural Draftsman.

I am to forward herewith a copy of a letter No. 240/306 dated the 15th February 1923, from the Director of Archaeological Researches in the matter and to state that for the reasons stated by the Director the Vice-Chancellor recommends that the designation of Mr.Venkoba Rao may be changed from that of Architectural Draftsman to that of Architectural Assistant.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Subrahmanya Iyer

Registrar.

(44

Letter No.240/306 dated the 15th February 1923 from the Director of Archaeological Researchee in Mysore, Mysore to the Registrar, Mysore University, Mysore.

With reference to your No.6958 of 14th February 1923, I have the honour to state that being a graduate, Mr. B.Venkoba Rao was deputed to study Indian Architecture and has made a special study of the art with an innate taste and capacity. Like many others he is not merely a draftsman, but an archaeological architect, and I think that in the course of a few years he will acquire some knowledge of Epigraphy also. For this reason, I recommend that his designation may be changed from "~~Archaeological~~ Architectural Draftsman" to "Architectural Assistant" to distinguish him from the other draftsman doing mere drawing work to dictation in the Archaeological office.

'Copy'

Registrar.

Proceedings of the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

G.O.No.G.6956-9/G.M.30-19-3 dated the 17th September 1919 appointing Mr.B.Venkoba Rao,B.A., as Architectural Draftsman in the Archaeological Department.

2. G.O.No.G.14280-2/G.M.30-20-18 dated the 22nd January 1921 confirming this officer as Architectural Draftsman on the grade pay of Rs.150-10/1-250.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.7196 dated 19th February 1923 from the Registrar, Mysore University recommending that the designation of Mr.B.Venkoba Rao may be changed from that of Architectural Draftsman to that of Architectural Assistant.

Order No. G. 9032-3/G.M.26-21-25

Dated Bangalore, the 25 April 1923

Government are pleased to sanction the change in the designation of this officer from "Architectural Draftsman" to "Architectural Assistant". This does not mean an increase in his present status or emoluments.

By order,
Secretary to Government

To

The Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government.

B.K.